JUL 17 2009

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

JOHN F. CORCORAN, CLERK BY: DEPUTY CLERK

REUBEN CHARLES JONES,) Case No. 7:09CV00032
Plaintiff,) Case No. 7:09C v 00032
v.)) <u>ORDER</u>)
S. K. WASILESKI, <u>ET AL</u> .,)) By: Hon. Glen E. Conrad) United States District Judge
Defendant.)

In accordance with the accompanying memorandum opinion, it is hereby

ORDERED

as follows:

- 1. The defendants' motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 35) is **DENIED** in part and **TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT** in part. As to defendants' argument that the allegations of the complaint are insufficient to state a claim, the motion to dismiss is **DENIED**. As to defendants' argument that they are entitled to qualified immunity against plaintiff's claims for monetary damages, the motion to dismiss is **TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT**, pending further development of the record;
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for interlocutory injunctive relief (Dkt. No. 43) is **DENIED**, but his complaint is hereby amended to include a demand for injunctive and declaratory relief, based on the arguments in the motion; and
 - 3. The clerk will enter an appropriate pretrial scheduling order.

The clerk will send copies of this order and the accompanying memorandum opinion to plaintiff and to counsel of record for the defendants.

ENTER: This _____ day of July, 2009.

United States District Judge

Suchmo